

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
2 TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645
3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
4 FARSCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194
5 **PERKINS COIE LLP**
6 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
7 San Francisco, California 94111
8 Telephone: (415) 344-7000
9 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
10 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
11 Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com
12 Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com
13 Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com

14 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a
14 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD
15 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others
16 similarly situated,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 GOOGLE INC.,

20 Defendant.

CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW

[Signature]
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON
PLAINTIFFS' "120% RULE" AND
"PARTIAL MONTH" CLAIMS

Date: December 1, 2008

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Courtroom 8

Judge: Honorable James Ware

1 Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google's") Administrative Motion for Leave to File Under
2 Seal Documents in Connection with Its Reply in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary
3 Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims (the "Motion") was heard
4 before this Court on December 1, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. Upon consideration of this Motion and the
5 supporting Declaration of Farschad Farzan filed therewith, the Court finds there is good cause to
6 grant Google's request to file the following documents and information under seal:

7 **Google Inc.'s Reply in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment**
8 **on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims, and**

9 **Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Declaration of Farschad Farzan in Support of Google**
10 **Inc.'s Reply in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on**
11 **Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims.**


12 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 13 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of
14 public access to the record in the above-mentioned document;
- 15 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
- 16 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests
17 will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- 18 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 19 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Google's Motion is GRANTED.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: November 18, 2008

23 
24 The Honorable James Ware
25 United States District Judge

26 41063-0023/LEGAL14928817.1